



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,773 09/15/2003		Markus Schmid	028987.52499US	7243
23911 7	590 02/28/2006	EXAMINER		
CROWELL &	& MORING LLP		RESTIFO, JEFFREY J	
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY GROUP			D / DOD 1410 (DDD
P.O. BOX 1430	00		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20044-4300		3618	

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_	
10/661,773	SCHMID ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Jeffrey J. Restifo	3618		

	Jeffrey J. Restifo	3618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	illing date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see f		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separa		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affi	i Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> davit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap y and was not earlier presented	peal and/or appellant fa . See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		. 0	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	Jeffrey J Restito Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3618	2/23/06

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Scadding appears to have a one-piece guiding element defined by an upper cross member and supporting frame, shown in figure 1.